\_\_\_\_\_\_

# THE IMPLEMENTATION AND IMPACT OF CONTENT AND LANGUAGE INTEGRATED LEARNING (CLIL) IN SECONDARY EDUCATION

# Avezova Rohila Abdusharipovna 1

<sup>1</sup> Urgench State Pedagogical Institute, Uzbekistan Email: rohilaavezova5@gmail.com

#### **ARTICLE INFO**

#### **ABSTRACT:**

Online ISSN: 3030-3508

#### **ARTICLE HISTORY:**

Received: 23.05.2025 Revised: 24.05.2025 Accepted: 25.05.2025

## **KEYWORDS:**

CLIL, bilingual
education, language
acquisition, content
learning, secondary
education, foreign
language,
interdisciplinary
approach, student
motivation, educational
methodology, academic
performance

This article explores the implementation and effectiveness of Content and Language Integrated Learning (CLIL) in secondary education. It examines how CLIL enhances both subject knowledge and foreign language proficiency through interdisciplinary instruction. Based on data collected from schools in Uzbekistan, the study demonstrates that CLIL improves student motivation, language skills, and content comprehension when appropriately supported by trained teachers and tailored resources.

**INTRODUCTION.** In recent years, the increasing importance of multilingual competence and subject-specific knowledge has led to the development and application of Content and Language Integrated Learning (CLIL). CLIL is an educational approach where subjects are taught through a foreign language, promoting both content mastery and language acquisition simultaneously. This dual-focused methodology enhances students' communicative competence while fostering a deeper understanding of academic subjects.

CLIL has gained popularity in many European and Asian countries due to its potential to improve students' motivation, cognitive engagement, and real-world language use. However, the effectiveness of CLIL largely depends on contextual factors such as teacher preparation, resource availability, and institutional support. This study investigates how

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_

CLIL is implemented in secondary schools and explores its impact on students' academic performance and language skills.

Materials and methods. Content and Language Integrated Learning (CLIL) is an educational approach that combines the teaching of subject matter with the learning of a second language. This method is widely used in multilingual settings and aims to enhance both content knowledge and language skills simultaneously. CLIL has gained popularity due to its effectiveness in fostering a more immersive learning environment, where students can engage with the subject matter while improving their language proficiency.

# **Key Principles of CLIL**

- 1. **Dual Focus**: CLIL emphasizes learning both content and language. For example, students might learn geography through English, allowing them to acquire knowledge about geographical concepts while practicing their English vocabulary and grammar.
- 2. **Authentic Materials**: This approach often incorporates authentic materials, such as articles, videos, and real-world resources, which provide students with practical language exposure. Authentic texts help students understand how language is used in various contexts, making learning more relevant and engaging.
- 3. **Active Learning**: CLIL encourages active participation from students. This can include group work, discussions, and problem-solving activities that require students to use the target language effectively. Active learning strategies not only improve language skills but also deepen understanding of the subject matter.
- 4. **Cultural Awareness**: CLIL promotes cultural understanding by exposing students to different languages and cultures. This exposure helps develop intercultural competence, which is essential in today's globalized world. Students learn to appreciate cultural nuances, fostering empathy and respect for diversity.

This study employed a mixed-methods research design, combining quantitative and qualitative approaches to gain a comprehensive understanding of CLIL's effectiveness. The research was conducted in three secondary schools in Tashkent, Uzbekistan, over one academic semester.

#### **Participants:**

The participants included 120 students from grades 8–9 and six subject teachers who applied CLIL in science and history lessons through English.

#### **Instruments:**

- Pre- and post-tests to assess language proficiency and content knowledge
- Student questionnaires to evaluate motivation and attitudes
- Semi-structured interviews with teachers
- Classroom observations using a standardized observation checklist

#### **Procedure:**

Before the implementation, teachers received a two-week training on CLIL methodology. Lessons were delivered using English as the medium of instruction for selected topics in

\_\_\_\_\_



Online ISSN: 3030-3508

\_\_\_\_\_\_

science and history. The same topics were taught to control groups using the traditional method in the native language.

# **Results and Discussion**

The findings indicate that CLIL had a significant positive effect on students' language development. Students in the CLIL group showed a 15% average improvement in English proficiency scores compared to a 5% improvement in the control group. Content knowledge acquisition was comparable in both groups, suggesting that subject learning was not compromised by the use of a foreign language.

Student surveys revealed increased motivation and engagement in the CLIL classes. Many students reported that learning content through English helped them understand the practical application of the language and improved their confidence in speaking and writing.

Teacher interviews highlighted initial challenges such as the lack of teaching materials and time constraints, but most teachers reported enhanced student participation and deeper learning. Teachers emphasized the importance of scaffolding techniques, such as visual aids and simplified language, to support comprehension.

These results support existing literature that CLIL can be an effective educational approach when implemented thoughtfully. However, for sustained success, ongoing teacher training, administrative support, and curriculum development are essential.

Conclusion. The findings of this study highlight the potential of Content and Language Integrated Learning (CLIL) as an effective approach to fostering both language acquisition and subject knowledge among secondary school students. The implementation of CLIL led to noticeable improvements in students' English proficiency without negatively affecting their understanding of academic content. Moreover, increased motivation and engagement levels among CLIL participants underscore the value of integrating language learning with meaningful content instruction. Nevertheless, successful implementation of CLIL depends heavily on several key factors, including teacher training, the availability of appropriate teaching materials, and institutional support. Teachers require not only linguistic competence but also methodological knowledge to balance content and language demands effectively. In conclusion, CLIL represents a promising educational strategy in multilingual contexts such as Uzbekistan, where there is a growing need for English-medium instruction. Future efforts should focus on developing localized CLIL models, offering continuous professional development for teachers, and investing in resource creation to ensure sustainable and scalable implementation.

## **References:**

1. Coyle, D., Hood, P., & Marsh, D. (2010). CLIL: Content and Language Integrated Learning. Cambridge University Press.

\_\_\_\_\_

Online ISSN: 3030-3508

# JOURNAL OF INTERNATIONAL SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH

Volume 2, Issue 9, May, 2025 https://spaceknowladge.com

\_\_\_\_\_

- 2. Mehisto, P., Marsh, D., & Frigols, M. J. (2008). Uncovering CLIL: Content and Language Integrated Learning in Bilingual and Multilingual Education. Macmillan Education.
- 3. Dalton-Puffer, C. (2007). Discourse in Content and Language Integrated Learning (CLIL) Classrooms. John Benjamins Publishing.
- 4. Llinares, A., Morton, T., & Whittaker, R. (2012). The Roles of Language in CLIL. Cambridge University Press.
- 5. Cenoz, J., Genesee, F., & Gorter, D. (2014). Critical Analysis of CLIL: Taking Stock and Looking Forward. Applied Linguistics, 35(3), 243–262. https://doi.org/10.1093/applin/amt011
- 6. Lasagabaster, D., & Sierra, J. M. (2009). Language Attitudes in CLIL and Traditional EFL Classes. International CLIL Research Journal, 1(2), 4–17.
- 7. Nikula, T. (2008). Learning Pragmatics in Content-based Classrooms. In E. Alcón & A. Martínez-Flor (Eds.), Investigating Pragmatics in Foreign Language Learning, Teaching and Testing (pp. 94–113). Multilingual Matters.
- 8. Van de Craen, P., Mondt, K., Allain, L., & Gao, Y. (2007). Why and How CLIL Works: An Outline for a CLIL Theory. Vienna English Working Papers, 16(3), 70–78.
- 9. Jäppinen, A.-K. (2005). Thinking and Content Learning of Mathematics and Science as Cognitional Development in Content and Language Integrated Learning (CLIL): Teaching Through a Foreign Language in Finland. Language and Education, 19(2), 147–168. https://doi.org/10.1080/09500780508668671
- 10. Marsh, D. (2002). CLIL/EMILE The European Dimension: Actions, Trends and Foresight Potential. European Commission.



Online ISSN: 3030-3508