

TERMINOLOGICAL AND LINGUISTIC CHARACTERISTICS OF "THE VISCERA" IN MEDICAL DISCOURSE: A CORPUS-BASED STUDY

Asatullayev Rustamjon Baxtiyarovich

Trainee Assistant at

Samarkand State Medical University

Student: Yusupbekov Sultanbek Berdax uli

ARTICLE INFORMATION

ARTICLE HISTORY:

Received: 06.05.2026

Revised: 07.05.2026

Accepted: 08.05.2026

KEYWORDS:

medical linguistics, viscera, splanchnology, anatomical nomenclature, corpus analysis, terminological standardization, professional discourse.

ABSTRACT:

This research paper provides an extensive exploration of the terminological landscape surrounding "The Viscera" (internal organs) within specialized medical corpora. The study investigates the evolution of splanchnological nomenclature, focusing on the linguistic precision required in clinical and academic environments. By leveraging computational linguistics and corpus-based methodologies, the paper examines how anatomical terms maintain their semantic integrity across different genres of medical writing. The primary objective is to highlight the structural complexity of internal organ terminology and its implications for medical standardization, professional communication, and specialized translation.

Introduction

The study of human anatomy, particularly the systems of internal organs known as the viscera, represents one of the most ancient yet continuously evolving branches of medical science. In the contemporary linguistic landscape, the precision of anatomical terminology is not merely a matter of academic interest but a critical requirement for patient safety, surgical accuracy, and international scientific collaboration. The field of splanchnology—the

scientific study of the visceral organs—relies on a dense network of terms derived largely from Latin and Greek, which serve as the "lingua franca" of the global medical community.

Methodological framework

The theoretical foundation of this study is rooted in the principles of modern corpus linguistics. To ensure a comprehensive analysis, a specialized "Visceral Medicine Corpus" was developed, comprising extensive word tokens extracted from peer-reviewed medical journals and clinical reports. This methodology focuses on term extraction and concordance analysis to observe the typical collocations of visceral terms in various clinical contexts. The study specifically employs frequency analysis to differentiate between formal anatomical names and descriptive variants used in daily clinical practice.

Discussion

A central issue in the linguistics of the viscera is the tension between international standardization and local clinical practice. The Terminologia Anatomica (TA) provides a rigorous framework for naming internal organs, yet medical professionals frequently employ synonymous clusters. This variation, while functional for diverse audiences, can introduce ambiguity in crossdisciplinary communication. Standardization through corpus-based normalization is therefore essential for the development of automated medical translation tools and accurate electronic health record (EHR) systems.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the study of "The Viscera" highlights the profound complexity of medical language. While classical roots provide a stable foundation, the functional demands of modern practice necessitate flexibility. A corpus-based approach is vital for mapping these shifts and informing the next generation of medical dictionaries. Precision in communicating visceral pathologies remains a cornerstone of effective global healthcare.

References

1. Hojiyev A. Tilshunoslik terminlarining izohli lug‘ati. – Toshkent: Fan, 2002. – 176 b.
2. Rahmatullayev Sh. Hozirgi o‘zbek adabiy tili. – Toshkent: Universitet, 2006. – 412 b.
3. Yo‘ldoshev M. Matn va uning lingvistik tahlili. – Toshkent: Fan, 2008. – 198 b.
4. Ismoilov I. Tibbiy terminologiya asoslari. – Toshkent: Ibn Sino nomidagi nashriyot, 2015. – 256 b.
5. Madvaliyev A. (tahr.) O‘zbek tilining izohli lug‘ati, 5 jildlik, 1-jild. – Toshkent: O‘zbekiston milliy ensiklopediyasi, 2006. – 680 b.
6. Qosimov S. Ilmiy matn va terminologiya. – Toshkent: Akademnashr, 2018. – 224 b.