JOURNAL OF INTERNATIONAL SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH
Volume 1, Issue 1, July 2026 Online ISSN: 3030-3508
https://spaceknowladge.com

IMPROVING THE TACTICS OF MINIMALLY INVASIVE SURGICAL TREATMENT OF PATIENTS WITH COMPLICATED FORMS OF REFLUX ESOPHAGITIS

Maxsudov Maqsud To‘ymurodovich
Doctor of the Republican Scientific and Practical Medical Center of Surgery named after Academician V.Vohidov
(Maqsud.maxsudov@gmail.com)

MAQOLA MALUMOTI

MAQOLA TARIXI:
Received:22.07.2026
Revised: 23.07.2026
Accepted:24.07.2026

KALIT SO’ZLAR:
Reflux Esophagitis, Complicated GERD, Laparoscopic Fundoplication, Minimally Invasive Surgery, Surgical Tactics, Hiatal Hernia Repair, Barrett's Esophagus, Nissen Fundoplication, Toupet Fundoplication, High-Resolution Manometry, Mesh Reinforcement, Foregut Surgery.

ANNOTATSIYA:

Reflux esophagitis, particularly in its complicated forms (Barrett's esophagus, strictures, grade C/D esophagitis), represents a significant therapeutic challenge where medical management often reaches its limits. While laparoscopic fundoplication has established itself as the gold standard surgical intervention for gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD), its application in complicated cases requires nuanced modification and advanced technical strategy. This article critically examines the evolution of minimally invasive surgical tactics for this complex patient cohort. We analyze the shift from a one-size-fits-all fundoplication paradigm towards a tailored, pathophysiologically grounded approach. The article argues that improving outcomes in complicated reflux esophagitis hinges not merely on the execution of a minimally invasive procedure, but on the deliberate, evidence-based refinement of each tactical step—from patient selection and preoperative workup to the technical nuances of hiatus repair, fundoplication calibration, and the management of short esophagus. The ultimate goal is to achieve durable reflux control while minimizing postoperative dysphagia and recurrence, thereby elevating the standard of surgical care for this demanding condition.

Introduction

Gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) stands as one of the most prevalent chronic disorders of the digestive tract, with a clinical spectrum ranging from intermittent, lifestyle-limiting heartburn to progressive, tissue-destructive pathology.[1] While the majority of patients achieve adequate symptom control with proton pump inhibitor (PPI) therapy, a significant subset progresses to what is clinically defined as complicated reflux esophagitis. This category encompasses the severe, erosive mucosal damage classified as Los Angeles grades C and D, the development of peptic strictures causing functional obstruction, and the metaplastic transformation of the distal esophageal epithelium into Barrett's mucosa—a known precursor to adenocarcinoma.[2] In these advanced presentations, the failure of maximal medical management becomes a common narrative. Symptoms persist unabated, quality of life plummets, and the patient faces the escalating risks of disease progression, including bleeding, profound dysphagia, and malignant degeneration.

For decades, the surgical answer to medically refractory GERD has been fundoplication, a procedure aimed at reconstructing a competent antireflux valve at the gastroesophageal junction. The advent and subsequent mastery of laparoscopic techniques transformed this intervention, offering the benefits of durable reflux control with the reduced morbidity, shorter hospitalization, and superior cosmesis inherent to minimally invasive approaches.[3] Laparoscopic Nissen fundoplication rapidly became the procedure of choice, celebrated for its efficacy in providing robust barrier against reflux. However, as surgical experience matured, it became increasingly evident that the complicated patient—the one with a large, sliding hiatal hernia, a chronically inflamed and shortened esophagus, or severely compromised peristalsis—presented a distinct and formidable challenge. The unmodified application of a standard 360-degree fundoplication in this cohort was frequently associated with troubling rates of postoperative dysphagia, gas-bloat syndrome, and anatomical recurrence.[4] It became clear that in complicated esophagitis, the disease itself had already fundamentally altered the surgical landscape, demanding more than just a minimally invasive route to an old operation.

This realization has catalyzed a pivotal evolution in surgical philosophy: a shift from a purely **technical** focus on performing a laparoscopic fundoplication to a **tactical** focus on tailoring every aspect of the procedure to the specific pathoanatomy of the complicated case. Modern foregut surgery now understands that success hinges on a series of deliberate, strategic decisions informed by sophisticated preoperative assessment. The choice between a complete (Nissen) and a partial (Toupet) fundoplication is no longer arbitrary but is critically guided by high-resolution manometry, which maps the vigor and coordination of esophageal body peristalsis.[5] The management of the hiatal defect has evolved from simple crura approximation to a recognized principle of tension-free, mesh-reinforced reconstruction for large hernias, acknowledging the high-pressure environment of the diaphragmatic hiatus.[6] Perhaps most crucially, the once-dreaded "short esophagus" is now approached not as a

contraindication to laparoscopy, but as a tactical problem requiring advanced mobilization techniques, and occasionally, formal lengthening procedures, to ensure the fundoplication resides without tension in the abdominal cavity.

This article posits that improving outcomes for patients with complicated reflux esophagitis is an exercise in precision surgery. It involves the integration of advanced diagnostic modalities, the selective application of a growing arsenal of surgical techniques, and a nuanced understanding of the altered anatomy and physiology of the diseased foregut. We will explore the key tactical pillars of this refined approach: comprehensive preoperative phenotyping, the principles of extensive esophageal mobilization and safe mediastinal dissection, the algorithm for fundoplication selection and calibration, and the rationale for prosthetic reinforcement. By dissecting these components, we aim to provide a framework for transforming the minimally invasive surgical treatment of complicated GERD from a standardized procedure into a customized, pathophysiologically-driven reconstruction, ultimately aiming for the dual goals of complete reflux eradication and the preservation of normal esophageal function.

Methodology

This conceptual and clinical review employs a dual-framework analytical methodology to dissect the evolution of surgical tactics for complicated reflux esophagitis. The primary aim is not to present novel statistical data from a single cohort, but to synthesize established surgical principles, emerging technologies, and expert consensus into a coherent, actionable strategic guide. The methodology is inherently qualitative and comparative, designed to illuminate how context—shaped by technological access, surgical training, and healthcare infrastructure—profoundly influences the application of these refined tactics. It relies on a tripartite foundation: a synthesis of contemporary surgical literature, an analysis of evolving technological adjuncts, and a constructed comparative scenario analysis between disparate healthcare environments.

The first pillar involves a **critical narrative synthesis of authoritative evidence**. This was conducted through a targeted review of key surgical textbooks, society guidelines from organizations such as the Society of American Gastrointestinal and Endoscopic Surgeons (SAGES) and the International Society for Diseases of the Esophagus (ISDE), and peer-reviewed journals specializing in foregut surgery and surgical endoscopy.[1] Focus was placed on literature addressing the technical nuances of laparoscopic hiatal dissection, the long-term outcomes of different fundoplication types in patients with impaired motility, the debated role of mesh in hiatus repair, and the management of the foreshortened esophagus. This synthesis avoids a simple recitation of steps, instead prioritizing the underlying *rationale* for each tactical decision—the "why" behind the "how."

The second pillar is an **analysis of enabling and diagnostic technologies** that have redefined preoperative planning and intraoperative precision. This includes a detailed examination of how high-resolution manometry (HRM) and impedance-pH monitoring have

transitioned from research tools to essential components of the preoperative workup, allowing for the precise categorization of esophageal motility and the objective confirmation of pathological reflux patterns.[2] Furthermore, the methodology explores the role of advanced intraoperative tools, such as impedance planimetry (EndoFLIP™), which provides real-time, objective metrics of esophagogastric junction distensibility, moving fundoplication calibration from an empirical, surgeon-dependent art towards a measurable, reproducible science.[3] The integration of these technologies forms the backbone of the modern, data-driven tactical approach.

To ground this discussion in practical reality, the methodology utilizes a **structured comparative scenario analysis**. This contrasts the tactical execution and decision-making pathways in two distinct settings: a high-volume, technologically advanced center in a country like **Germany**, and a developing surgical hub, such as a national referral center in **Uzbekistan**. This comparison is not evaluative but illustrative, highlighting how core principles adapt to resource availability.

In a **German center**, the methodology delineates a pathway saturated with technology. The patient undergoes exhaustive preoperative phenotyping: HRM categorizes peristalsis as "effective" or "ineffective," dictating the choice between Nissen and Toupet fundoplication; impedance-pH monitoring quantifies reflux burden; and endoscopy with detailed biopsy mapping defines the extent of Barrett's metaplasia.[4] Intraoperatively, the surgeon may employ EndoFLIP™ to measure baseline sphincter characteristics and then verify the constructed valve's compliance. The tactical discussion here revolves around the optimal integration of abundant data.

Conversely, in a leading center in **Uzbekistan**, while the commitment to minimally invasive surgery is equally strong, access to such specialized technology may be limited.[5] Here, the methodology examines how core tactical principles are upheld through refined clinical skills and adaptive technique. The choice of fundoplication may rely more heavily on a meticulous evaluation of the barium swallow esophagogram for esophageal dilation and the surgeon's assessment of tissue quality and mediastinal esophageal length during dissection. The emphasis on extensive mediastinal mobilization of the esophagus to gain adequate intra-abdominal length becomes paramount, as the safety net of a readily available lengthening procedure (like Collis gastroplasty) might be less established. This scenario highlights the triumph of fundamental surgical principles—meticulous dissection, tension-free repair, and careful calibration of the wrap—even when advanced diagnostics are scarce.

Finally, the methodology incorporates a **technical-decision algorithm construction**. Based on the synthesized evidence, a logical, stepwise decision tree is formulated. This algorithm guides the reader from the initial assessment of a complicated case, through the critical intraoperative decision points (e.g., assessing adequacy of mobilization, deciding on mesh use, selecting wrap type and tightness), to postoperative considerations. This framework aims to translate the discussed tactics into a practical intellectual tool for the operating

surgeon, emphasizing that improved tactics are essentially a series of informed, sequential choices made before and during the operation.

Research results

The critical analysis of contemporary surgical practice and literature reveals a clear trajectory: the outcomes of minimally invasive surgery for complicated reflux esophagitis are no longer dictated solely by the successful completion of a laparoscopic fundoplication, but by the deliberate and nuanced execution of a series of interdependent tactical maneuvers. The synthesized results point to several pivotal areas where refined strategy has demonstrably shifted the clinical paradigm, moving from a standardized operation to a patient-specific reconstruction.

A foremost finding centers on the **centrality of preoperative esophageal motility assessment** in dictating functional outcomes. The historical, reflexive application of a Nissen fundoplication, while effective for reflux control, proved problematic in patients with compromised esophageal body function—a common feature in long-standing, complicated disease. The analysis confirms that the use of high-resolution manometry to identify patients with ineffective esophageal motility or outright aperistalsis has led to a strategic pivot towards partial fundoplication (primarily Toupet) in this subgroup.[1] The clinical result is a significant mitigation of postoperative dysphagia without a substantial sacrifice in reflux control. The data synthesized from clinical series indicates that in these patients, a 270-degree posterior wrap acts as a reliable valve against reflux while providing a "safety corridor" along the posterior esophageal wall, allowing the weakened peristaltic forces to propel food boluses with less resistance. This represents a fundamental tactical shift from a "maximalist" anti-reflux barrier to an "optimized" one, balancing efficacy with functional preservation.

Concerning the **management of the hiatal defect**, the results underscore a decisive move away from simple suture approximation in cases of large hernias (>5 cm) and attenuated crura. The consensus emerging from reviewed literature and expert technique videos strongly advocates for a tailored approach to hiatal reconstruction. For moderate defects with robust crural pillars, meticulous suture repair with non-absorbable material remains the standard.[2] However, in the context of complicated esophagitis often associated with massive hiatal herniation, the evidence supports the selective use of mesh reinforcement to reduce anatomical recurrence. The key finding here is not merely the use of mesh, but the tactical nuance in its application: lightweight, biologically inert mesh shaped in a U-configuration and placed in a tension-free, onlay fashion to buttress the primary suture repair, without creating a rigid, constrictive ring. This approach addresses the pathophysiological reality of chronic intra-abdominal pressure and diaphragmatic stress, aiming for a durable repair that underpins the longevity of the fundoplication itself.

Perhaps the most technically demanding result relates to the **strategic approach to esophageal shortening**. The "short esophagus" is reconceptualized not as a preoperative diagnosis to be feared, but as an intraoperative condition to be methodically managed. The

synthesized techniques demonstrate that adequate intra-abdominal esophageal length (typically 2.5-3 cm without tension) is achievable in the vast majority of complicated cases through a dedicated, extensive mediastinal dissection.[3] This requires a tactical shift in dissection philosophy: entering the mediastinum early, circumferentially mobilizing the esophagus under direct vision up to the level of the inferior pulmonary veins, and meticulously dividing all attachments, including the gastrophrenic ligament and the posterior attachments of the fundus. The result of this aggressive mobilization is the restoration of sufficient length, rendering formal lengthening procedures (Collis gastroplasty) a necessary but rare final option rather than a routine consideration. This approach preserves the native anatomy and avoids the metabolic sequelae of a fundoplication constructed over a gastric tube.

Furthermore, the integration of **intraoperative objective measurement**, primarily via impedance planimetry (EndoFLIP™), is yielding a new dimension of tactical precision. Early results from adopting this technology indicate its power in moving beyond subjective, surgeon-dependent assessments of "wrap tightness."[4] The device provides real-time, quantitative metrics of the esophagogastric junction's cross-sectional area and distensibility index after fundoplication construction. The emerging data suggests an optimal "therapeutic window" for these parameters—a wrap that is sufficiently tight to prevent reflux but sufficiently compliant to allow normal bolus passage. Surgeons utilizing this feedback can make immediate, calibrated adjustments, a tactical advantage that may standardize outcomes and further reduce dysphagia rates.

Finally, the analysis reveals an evolution in the **tactical sequencing of the procedure itself**. The classical order of events is being re-evaluated. A prominent finding is the advantage of performing the extensive mediastinal mobilization and posterior crural repair *before* creating the fundoplication.[5] This sequence ensures that the reconstructed hiatus is finalized and stable, allowing the fundoplication to be constructed and positioned within a defined anatomical space without the distorting tension of an unrepaired defect. This seemingly simple reordering is a tactical refinement that enhances the precision and stability of the entire reconstruction.

In summary, the aggregated results present a composite picture of modern tactical philosophy: success is built upon the selective application of partial fundoplication guided by motility, the durable repair of the hiatus often augmented with mesh, the aggressive pursuit of esophageal length via mediastinal dissection, and the nascent use of intraoperative metrics for calibration. These elements, when integrated, transform the procedure from a generic antireflux operation into a customized anatomical and functional restoration.

Discussion

The tactical refinements detailed in this analysis represent more than mere technical upgrades to a laparoscopic fundoplication; they signify a profound philosophical evolution in the surgical management of complicated reflux esophagitis. This discussion posits that we

have transitioned from an era of **operative standardization** into an era of **strategic customization**. The central thesis emerging from the synthesized data is that the diseased foregut in advanced GERD presents a unique, altered anatomical and physiological landscape, and surgical success is contingent upon the surgeon's ability to recognize, analyze, and strategically reconstruct this landscape, rather than simply superimposing a one-size-fits-all solution upon it.

The cornerstone of this paradigm shift is the redefinition of the **surgical objective**. Historically, the goal was singular: the abolition of acid reflux. Today, the objective is dual: to achieve durable reflux control *while preserving or restoring esophageal function*. This duality is non-negotiable in complicated cases, where the organ is already compromised by inflammation, fibrosis, or dysmotility.[4] The strategic pivot to selective partial fundoplication, guided by high-resolution manometry, embodies this principle perfectly. It acknowledges that a maximally effective antireflux barrier is counterproductive if it creates a functional obstruction for a weakened esophagus. The surgeon thus becomes not just a mechanic repairing a valve, but a physiologist tasked with rebalancing competing forces—reflux pressure versus propulsive power. This nuanced approach directly addresses the core dissatisfaction that plagued earlier surgical series: excellent reflux control marred by intolerable dysphagia. By accepting a marginal, and largely non-significant, theoretical decrease in anti-reflux efficacy with a Toupet wrap in exchange for a substantial decrease in postoperative functional morbidity, the modern tactic prioritizes the patient's holistic quality of life over a laboratory-perfect pH metric.[2]

The debate surrounding **hiatal repair with mesh** further illuminates this tactical maturation. The discussion has moved beyond the polarized question of "mesh: yes or no?" to the more sophisticated inquiry of "mesh: for whom, and how?"[5] The findings reinforce that the hiatus is not a static ring but a dynamic, high-pressure zone under constant stress. In a patient with a large, attenuated defect—a common scenario in complicated disease—a primary suture repair, no matter how meticulously performed, is akin to repairing a hernia under chronic tension. The selective, judicious use of lightweight mesh as a reinforcing onlay is a tactical acknowledgment of biomechanical reality. It is an investment in the long-term stability of the entire reconstruction, aiming to prevent the most common cause of surgical failure: anatomic recurrence. The critical nuance lies in the technique—avoiding circumferential wrapping and rigid fixation that can lead to erosion or stricture—which underscores that technology is a tool to execute a sound principle, not a substitute for it.

Perhaps the most intellectually demanding aspect of this evolution is the **management of esophageal length**. The concept of the "short esophagus" has been demystified from a daunting preoperative diagnosis to a manageable intraoperative condition.[6] This reframing is empowering. It places the onus on the surgeon to perform an adequate mobilization, a procedure that requires patience, precise anatomical knowledge, and comfort operating in the posterior mediastinum. The aggressive mediastinal dissection described is not a discretionary

step but a fundamental tactical imperative. By routinely seeking and achieving adequate length, the surgeon ensures the fundoplication resides without axial tension in the abdomen. This is paramount, as tension is the progenitor of wrap migration, slippage, and recurrence. The discussion therefore elevates mediastinal dissection from a technical chore to a strategic pillar of the operation. The Collis gastroplasty, while a valuable tool, is repositioned as a salvage maneuver for the rare, true brachyesophagus, rather than a routine crutch for inadequate mobilization.

The introduction of **intraoperative metrics**, exemplified by impedance planimetry, opens a fascinating frontier in surgical precision.[7] While clinical experience and tactile feedback will always be invaluable, this technology offers an objective, quantitative window into the functional geometry of the newly constructed valve. The potential to define an optimal "distensibility window" for a fundoplication moves calibration from the realm of artisanal guesswork towards reproducible science. This allows for real-time titration: a wrap that measures too tight can be loosened before the abdomen is closed, potentially averting a months-long struggle with dysphagia. This represents the ultimate expression of tactical refinement—a closed-loop feedback system where the surgical construction is immediately tested and optimized based on its functional properties.

Finally, this discussion must address the **global applicability** of these refined tactics. While technologies like HRM and EndoFLIP™ are concentrated in high-resource centers, the core strategic principles are universally exportable.[8] A surgeon in a developing center may not have access to manometry, but can meticulously assess a barium swallow for esophageal dilation and propulsive failure. They may not use mesh, but can employ meticulous, tension-free crural repair with permanent suture. They may not have a stapler for a Collis, but can master the mediastinal dissection to gain every possible centimeter of length. The essence of the modern approach—comprehensive assessment, tailored decision-making, precise and tension-free anatomy reconstruction—is rooted in surgical philosophy, not just in advanced gadgetry.

In conclusion, improving surgical tactics for complicated reflux esophagitis is an exercise in intellectual rigor applied to manual skill. It demands that the surgeon be a diagnostician, a physiologist, and a biomechanical engineer, in addition to being a skilled laparoscopic technician. By embracing this multifaceted role and integrating the strategic pillars of selective fundoplication, durable hiatal repair, aggressive mobilization, and objective calibration, we can offer patients with this challenging disease not just an operation, but a durable, functional, and holistic anatomical restoration.

Conclusion

The journey through the refined landscape of minimally invasive surgery for complicated reflux esophagitis reveals a compelling narrative of progress, one defined not by the invention of radically new operations, but by the intellectual and technical maturation of an existing one. We have moved decisively beyond the simplistic era where laparoscopic

access was the sole innovation. Today, the paramount innovation is a **philosophy of precision**—a conscious, deliberate approach that tailors every aspect of the surgical intervention to the unique pathoanatomy and pathophysiology of the individual sitting before us. The complicated foregut, scarred by years of acid insult, dilated by obstruction, or metaplastically transformed, is no longer a candidate for a standard procedure; it is a unique architectural problem demanding a custom reconstruction.

The synthesis presented in this article underscores that superior outcomes are no longer serendipitous but systematically achievable through the integration of several interdependent strategic pillars. **Preoperative phenotyping**, empowered by high-resolution manometry and advanced endoscopic assessment, transforms the surgeon from a blind technician into an informed strategist, allowing for a data-driven selection of the antireflux valve—a choice that fundamentally balances reflux eradication with the preservation of delicate esophageal propulsion. Concurrently, the **aggressive, principled pursuit of esophageal length** through meticulous mediastinal dissection reaffirms a core surgical tenet: a repair under tension is a repair destined to fail. By reclaiming the esophagus from the mediastinum, we ensure our reconstruction rests in a natural, tension-free environment, securing its longevity.

Furthermore, the modern tactical embrace of **prosthetic reinforcement for the attenuated hiatus** reflects a sober acceptance of biomechanical reality. It is an acknowledgment that in the face of a large, crippled diaphragmatic defect, our sutures, no matter how skillfully placed, require reinforcement to withstand the relentless forces of the abdominal cavity. This, coupled with the nascent but promising use of **intraoperative functional metrics** to calibrate the wrap, represents the frontier of our field—where the art of surgical feel begins to merge with the science of objective measurement, promising a future where postoperative dysphagia is not a common complication but a rare anomaly.

Ultimately, this evolution in tactics speaks to a broader, more humanistic goal in surgical care. Our aim has transcended the mere correction of a pathological pH measurement. We now strive for **functional restoration**—to return the patient not just to a state of being "reflux-free," but to a life unencumbered by the fear of eating, free from both the burn of acid and the obstruction of a too-tight wrap. This is the true measure of success in complicated disease: a patient who enjoys a meal, sleeps through the night, and is liberated from the perpetual cycle of medications and discomfort.

The challenge and opportunity now lie in the dissemination and adaptation of these principles. They must become the bedrock of surgical training and the standard against which practice is measured, from high-volume centers in the technologically advanced world to growing surgical programs in developing nations. While the tools may differ, the foundational principles of anatomical respect, tension-free repair, and physiological tailoring are universal. By committing to this refined, thoughtful, and patient-centric approach, we affirm that the future of surgery for complicated reflux esophagitis is not about doing more operations, but

about doing each operation better—with greater wisdom, foresight, and an unwavering commitment to the holistic well-being of the individual we are entrusted to heal.

References

1. **Broeders, J.A., et al.** "Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Laparoscopic Nissen versus Toupet Fundoplication for Gastro-Oesophageal Reflux Disease." *British Journal of Surgery*, 2010.

2. **Broeders, J.A., et al.** "Ten-year Outcome of Laparoscopic Nissen Versus Toupet Fundoplication: Results of a Randomized Controlled Trial." *Gastroenterology*, 2012.

3. **Carlson, D.A., & Pandolfino, J.E.** "The Endoflip: A New Technology for the Foregut Surgeon." *Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*, 2016.

4. **Dallemagne, B., et al.** "Laparoscopic Nissen Fundoplication: Preliminary Report." *Surgical Laparoscopy & Endoscopy*, 1991.

5. **Fuchs, K.H., et al.** "EAES Recommendations for the Management of Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease." *Surgical Endoscopy*, 2014.

6. **Furnee, E.J., & Hazebroek, E.J.** "Mesh in Laparoscopic Large Hiatal Hernia Repair: A Systematic Review of the Literature." *Surgical Endoscopy*, 2013.

7. **Gyawali, C.P., et al.** "Modern Diagnosis of GERD: The Lyon Consensus." *Gut*, 2018.

8. **Ihde, G.M., et al.** "Comparison of Objective Outcomes Following Laparoscopic Nissen Fundoplication Using Endoluminal Functional Lumen Imaging Probe." *Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*, 2020.

9. **International Society for Diseases of the Esophagus (ISDE).** "Guidelines for the Surgical Treatment of Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease (GERD) - Global Perspectives." 2018.

10. **Kahrilas, P.J., et al.** "The Chicago Classification of Esophageal Motility Disorders, v4.0." *Neurogastroenterology & Motility*, 2021.

11. **Kahrilas, P.J., et al.** "The Role of Hiatal Hernia in GERD." *Yale Journal of Biology and Medicine*, 1999.

12. **Lord, R.V., et al.** "Absence of Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease in a Majority of Patients Taking Acid Suppression Medications After Nissen Fundoplication." *Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*, 2002.

13. **Lundell, L.R., et al.** "Endoscopic Assessment of Oesophagitis: Clinical and Functional Correlates and Further Validation of the Los Angeles Classification." *Gut*, 1999.

14. **Memon, M.A., et al.** "Mesh Reinforcement of the Hiatal Closure during Laparoscopic Fundoplication: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials." *World Journal of Surgery*, 2015.

15. **Mittal, S.K., & Juhasz, A.** "Technical Aspects of Laparoscopic Fundoplication: A Surgical Atlas." *Journal of Visualized Surgery*, 2016.

16. **Oelschlager, B.K., & Pellegrini, C.A.** "The Surgical Management of Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease: The Short Esophagus." *Problems in General Surgery*, 2001.

17. **Oelschlager, B.K., et al.** "A Biologic Implant for Large Hiatal Hernia Repair: 5-Year Results from a Randomized Trial." *Annals of Surgery*, 2019.

18. **Rieder, E., et al.** "Intraoperative Assessment of Esophagogastric Junction Distensibility During Laparoscopic Fundoplication." *Diseases of the Esophagus*, 2011.

19. **Stefanidis, D., et al.** "Guidelines for Surgical Treatment of Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease." *Surgical Endoscopy*, 2010.

20. **Toshov, K.B., et al.** "Current State and Prospects for the Development of Laparoscopic Surgery in Uzbekistan." *Annals of Surgery*, 2021.

21. **Vakil, N., et al.** "The Montreal Definition and Classification of Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease: A Global Evidence-Based Consensus." *The American Journal of Gastroenterology*, 2006.

22. **Watson, D.I., et al.** "Five Year Follow-up of a Randomized Controlled Trial of Laparoscopic Nissen Fundoplication with or without Division of the Short Gastric Vessels." *Annals of Surgery*, 2013.

23. **Yano, F., et al.** "Long-term Outcomes of Laparoscopic Collis Gastroplasty with Nissen Fundoplication for Giant Hiatal Hernia." *Annals of Surgery*, 2016.

24. **Zaninotto, G., et al.** "Long-term Outcome of Laparoscopic Toupet Fundoplication for Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease." *Clinical Gastroenterology and Hepatology*, 2007.