JOURNAL OF SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH, MODERN VIEWS AND INNOVATIONS
Volume 1, February, 2025
https://spaceknowladge.com

THE ETHICAL CONCERNS OF FACIAL RECOGNITION TECHNOLOGY

Khamidova Yodgora Khamidovna [1]
[1] *The teacher of "History and Philology" department*
Asia International University

[1] **ARTICLE INFO**

ARTICLE HISTORY:
Received:13.02.2025
Revised: 14.02.2025
Accepted:15.02.2025

KEYWORDS:
privacy infringement, transparency, algorithm, accuracy, datasets, multi-faceted.

ABSTRACT:

The extensive use of facial recognition technology (FRT) requires a comprehensive analysis of its ethical consequences and regulatory environment. This article outlines significant ethical issues raised by FRT, such as the possibility of misuse, privacy infringements, and algorithmic prejudice. It evaluates current and suggested regulations that oversee FRT implementation, emphasizing the necessity for increased transparency, accountability, and independent supervision. The article wraps up by suggesting a framework for the responsible innovation and implementation of FRT that reconciles societal advantages with the safeguarding of individual rights and liberties..

INTRODUCTION. The human face, previously a representation of uniqueness and anonymity in public areas, is quickly transforming into a source of information. Facial recognition technology (FRT), once confined to the domain of science fiction, is now widespread, incorporated into various applications from unlocking our smartphones to surveilling public places. However, the rapid spread of FRT has surpassed ethical and legal discussions, leaving us facing significant inquiries regarding privacy, bias, and the risks of misuse. Although the technology offers improved security and efficiency, an essential evaluation of its ethical consequences is critically required before FRT alters our society in unpredictable and possibly damaging ways.

Imagine a scenario where your access to opportunities, and even your freedom, relies on the correctness of an algorithm that could be intrinsically biased against you. This represents the possible reality of facial recognition technology (FRT), a system increasingly utilized to identify and monitor individuals. While supporters emphasize its effectiveness and accuracy, FRT has been proven to disproportionately misidentify individuals of color, reinforcing existing disparities within law enforcement and other essential sectors. This article explores the ethical aspects of this bias, contending that without diligent focus on

algorithmic fairness and data diversity, FRT endangers social justice and violates the rights of marginalized communities.

Main part. One of the most pressing moral issues surrounding facial recognition technology (FRT) is its proven tendency for bias. FRT systems are developed using datasets, and if these datasets are one-sided or lack diversity, the resulting algorithms will unavoidably mirror and amplify those biases (Buolamwini and Gebru, 2018). This can result in considerable inaccuracies, particularly in recognizing individuals from underrepresented groups, such as people of color.

For example, a well-known study by Joy Buolamwini and Timnit Gebru at MIT Media Lab showed that commercial FRT systems demonstrated significantly higher error rates when identifying darker-skinned women compared to lighter-skinned men (Buolamwini and Gebru, 2018). The ramifications of this bias are extensive. In law enforcement, misidentification can lead to wrongful accusations, false arrests, and unjust convictions, disproportionately affecting communities that already experience systemic discrimination. An illustration of this can be observed in several reported instances where FRT has been utilized to wrongly identify Black individuals as suspects in crimes (Garvie et al. , 2016). These misidentifications can lead to devastating outcomes for the wrongly accused, resulting in job loss, damage to reputation, and even incarceration.

Beyond law enforcement, FRT bias can also continue discrimination in other fields. Envision a situation where FRT is applied in hiring processes. If the system is trained on datasets that mainly showcase faces of a specific race or gender in leadership roles, it may be less inclined to recognize qualified candidates from other groups, effectively reinforcing current inequalities in the workplace. Alternatively, consider its application in border control: biased FRT could result in increased scrutiny and delays for travelers from particular ethnic backgrounds, raising serious concerns about discriminatory profiling.

Tackling these biases necessitates a multi-faceted strategy. First, enhanced transparency is essential in the development and deployment of FRT systems. Algorithmic transparency permits researchers and the public to examine the inner workings of FRT and identify potential sources of bias. Second, efforts must be focused on creating more diverse and representative training datasets. This includes actively pursuing and incorporating data from underrepresented groups. Third, continuous evaluation and testing are vital to identify and alleviate biases in FRT systems (O'Neil, 2016). Without these safeguards, FRT risks evolving into a tool for sustaining and intensifying existing social inequities, undermining the values of justice and equality.

Another significant ethical issue is the possibility that FRT could enable extensive surveillance and diminish privacy. FRT allows for the monitoring and identification of individuals in public areas, resulting in a deterrent effect on freedom of expression and association. The simple awareness of being perpetually watched can discourage individuals

from exercising their rights to protest, voice contrary opinions, or merely partake in daily activities without the concern of being tracked and scrutinized.

The application of FRT by law enforcement agencies introduces specific anxieties. When combined with current surveillance tools, such as CCTV cameras and social media surveillance, FRT can establish a thorough and invasive surveillance framework. Envision a situation where law enforcement agencies utilize FRT to monitor individuals attending political demonstrations or protests (Lyon, 2018). This can induce a chilling effect on the freedom of assembly and political expression, dissuading people from engaging in activities protected by the First Amendment.

Conclusion. As facial recognition technology (FRT) continues its swift integration into every aspect of contemporary life, the ethical issues presented in this article require immediate attention. We have observed that unregulated use of FRT poses a risk of perpetuating bias, compromising privacy, and threatening essential freedoms. The period for passive observation has concluded. Moving ahead, an active approach is crucial, one that emphasizes the creation of strong regulatory frameworks, encourages algorithmic transparency, and guarantees accountability for possible harms. Only through meticulous governance and continual ethical consideration can we aspire to harness the prospective advantages of FRT while reducing its inherent dangers and protecting a fair and equitable future. The moral issues related to facial recognition technology (FRT) fundamentally relate to a matter of personal rights and liberties. As FRT grows more widespread, it is essential that individuals are equipped to comprehend the technology, uphold their privacy rights, and contest biased practices. This necessitates enhanced openness from FRT creators and users, heightened public consciousness regarding the possible dangers of FRT, and more robust legal safeguards against abuse. Ultimately, a community that prioritizes personal freedom and respect must be watchful in guaranteeing that FRT benefits humanity, instead of the reverse.

References:

1. Askarovna, M. M. (2025). Modern Methods of Studying Anthroponyms in Linguistics and Their Significance. Spanish Journal of Innovation and Integrity, 38, 83-87.

2. Buolamwini, J., & Gebru, T. (2018). Gender shades: Intersectional accuracy in commercial facial recognition. Proceedings of the 1st Conference on Fairness, Accountability and Transparency, 77–91.

3. Garvie, C., Bedoya, A., & Frankle, J. (2016). The perpetual line-up: Unregulated police face recognition in America. Georgetown Law Center on Privacy & Technology.

4. Ilyosovna, M. D. (2025). WAR AND PEACE IN LITERATURE: A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF UZBEK AND ENGLISH PERSPECTIVES. JOURNAL OF SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH, MODERN VIEWS AND INNOVATIONS, 1(3), 44-46.

5. Lyon, D. (2018). The electronic eye: The rise of surveillance society. Polity Press.

6. Mustafoeva, D. I. (2024). EFFECTIVE STRATEGIES FOR TEACHING WRITING SKILLS TO NON-NATIVE ENGLISH SPEAKERS. Bulletin news in New Science Society International Scientific Journal, 1(6), 151-153.

7. Mustafoeva, D. I. (2024). USING TECHNOLOGICAL TOOLS AND PLATFORMS TO ENHANCE ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNING AND TEACHING PROCESS. Bulletin news in New Science Society International Scientific Journal, 1(5), 29-32.

8. Mustafoeva, M. A. (2022). The lexical layers of Uzbek anthroponyms. Fars international journal of education, social sciences and humanities, 10(12), 2022-6.

9. Mustafoeva, M. A. (2024). The importance of nouns in linguistics for learning language. European journal of innovation in nonformal education (EJINE), 4(9).

10. O'Neil, C. (2016). Weapons of math destruction: How big data increases inequality and threatens democracy. Crown.

11. Yurevna, S. R., & Djalilovna, J. L. (2023). IRONY IN ENGLISH LANGUAGE FICTION AS AN EXPRESSION OF THE AUTHOR'S WORLDVIEW. Intent Research Scientific Journal, 2(10), 135-140.

12. Yurevna, S. R., & Djalilovna, J. L. (2023). IRONY IN ENGLISH LANGUAGE FICTION AS AN EXPRESSION OF THE AUTHOR'S WORLDVIEW. Intent Research Scientific Journal, 2(10), 135-140.

13. Хужакулова, М. Х. (2024). Информационные Технологии И Мобильные Программы В Обучении Английского Языка. *International Journal of Formal Education*, *3*(6), 30-32.