
**PSYCHOLOGICAL FACTORS AFFECTING POSTOPERATIVE PAIN AND
RECOVERY IN IMPLANT PATIENTS**

Zilola Qahramonjonovna Khakimova

Andijan State Medical Institute PhD

Olimjonova Fotima Ibrohim qizi

*Head of the Department of Pediatric Propedeutic Dentistry, Andijan State Medical
Institute Master's Degree Student, 2nd Year, Faculty of Dentistry*

[*fatimaalimjanova899@gmail.com*](mailto:fatimaalimjanova899@gmail.com)

MAQOLA MALUMOTI

ANNOTATSIYA:

MAQOLA TARIXI:

Received: 02.12.2025

Revised: 03.12.2025

Accepted: 04.12.2025

KALIT SO'ZLAR:

*Dental implants,
postoperative pain,
psychological factors, anxiety,
stress response, recovery,
patient satisfaction*

Postoperative pain and recovery following dental implant surgery are influenced not only by surgical technique and physiological factors but also by the patient's psychological state. Anxiety, fear, and stress can significantly affect pain perception, wound healing, and overall rehabilitation outcomes. This study aims to evaluate the relationship between psychological factors and postoperative recovery in patients undergoing dental implantation. A total of 70 adult patients were assessed preoperatively using validated anxiety and stress scales, while postoperative pain levels were recorded using the Visual Analog Scale (VAS) at 24 hours, 3 days, and 7 days. Heart rate, blood pressure, and salivary cortisol levels were monitored as physiological stress indicators. Findings revealed that higher preoperative anxiety and stress levels were associated with increased postoperative pain, delayed functional recovery, and lower patient satisfaction. Early psychological assessment and implementation of stress-reducing interventions, including patient counseling and relaxation techniques, were shown to improve recovery outcomes and

enhance overall treatment experience. These results underscore the importance of integrating psychophysiological evaluation into standard preoperative protocols for dental implant patients.

Introduction

Dental implantation has become a widely accepted and predictable method for the restoration of missing teeth, significantly improving oral function, esthetics, and quality of life. Despite the high success rates of implant procedures, postoperative recovery and patient satisfaction are not solely determined by surgical skill or biomaterial properties. Increasing evidence indicates that psychological factors, such as anxiety, fear, and stress, play a critical role in influencing pain perception, wound healing, and overall rehabilitation outcomes.

Preoperative anxiety is a common phenomenon among dental implant patients, often resulting from fear of pain, surgical procedures, or previous negative dental experiences. Elevated stress and anxiety levels trigger physiological responses, including increased heart rate, blood pressure, and cortisol secretion, which may negatively affect immune response, tissue repair, and osseointegration. Consequently, patients with high anxiety may report higher postoperative pain, slower functional recovery, and reduced satisfaction with treatment outcomes.

Understanding the impact of psychological factors is essential for developing comprehensive treatment protocols that address both physical and emotional needs of patients. Early assessment of anxiety and stress, combined with counseling, relaxation techniques, and effective communication, may enhance postoperative comfort and improve recovery.

This study aims to investigate the relationship between preoperative psychological status and postoperative pain and recovery in dental implant patients, highlighting the importance of psychophysiological evaluation in modern implantology.

Literature Review

Psychological factors have been increasingly recognized as critical determinants of postoperative outcomes in dental procedures. Dental anxiety and fear have been reported in 20–40% of adult patients, with implant candidates demonstrating heightened concern due to invasiveness and cost of the procedure. Studies indicate that anxiety and stress can amplify pain perception through central nervous system sensitization, leading to increased analgesic requirements and delayed recovery.

Research by Kaptein et al. (2007) demonstrated that high preoperative anxiety correlates with elevated postoperative pain scores in oral surgery patients. Similarly, Corah's Dental

=====
Anxiety Scale has been widely used to predict patient discomfort during invasive procedures, including implant placement. Neuroendocrine studies have shown that stress-induced cortisol elevation can impair immune function, delay wound healing, and negatively affect osseointegration.

Interventions to reduce psychological stress, such as preoperative counseling, relaxation techniques, and music therapy, have been associated with lower pain perception, improved patient satisfaction, and faster recovery. Several studies emphasize the need for a multidisciplinary approach involving the dental surgeon, psychologist, and nursing staff to address both physiological and emotional aspects of patient care.

Overall, the literature underscores that psychological assessment should be an integral component of preoperative planning for dental implants, as it significantly influences postoperative outcomes and patient well-being.

Methodology

Study Design

A prospective observational study was conducted among adult patients scheduled for dental implant placement.

Participants

Sample size: 70 patients

Age range: 25–65 years

Inclusion criteria: Systemically healthy adults requiring single or multiple dental implants

Exclusion criteria: Psychiatric disorders, uncontrolled systemic diseases, smoking >10 cigarettes/day

Assessment Tools

1. Spielberger State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI) – for preoperative anxiety assessment

2. Visual Analog Scale (VAS) – for postoperative pain evaluation at 24 hours, 3 days, and 7 days

3. Heart rate (HR) and blood pressure (BP) – physiological stress indicators

4. Salivary cortisol – biomarker of stress response

5. Patient satisfaction survey – at 7-day follow-up

Surgical Procedure

All implants were placed under local anesthesia following standard sterile protocols. Preoperative counseling and relaxation guidance were provided to all participants.

Data Analysis

Descriptive statistics summarized patient demographics, anxiety levels, pain scores, and recovery measures. Correlation analysis (Pearson's r) assessed relationships between psychological factors and postoperative outcomes. Statistical significance was set at $p < 0.05$.

Results

=====
Patient Demographics: 70 patients (38 females, 32 males), mean age 44.2 ± 11.3 years.

Preoperative Anxiety: 42 patients (60%) exhibited moderate to high anxiety (STAI score ≥ 40).

Postoperative Pain: Higher preoperative anxiety correlated with elevated VAS scores at 24 hours ($r = 0.62, p < 0.01$), 3 days ($r = 0.48, p < 0.05$), and 7 days ($r = 0.35, p < 0.05$).

Physiological Stress: Patients with higher anxiety showed significantly higher HR, BP, and salivary cortisol levels preoperatively.

Recovery and Satisfaction: High-anxiety patients reported slower functional recovery and lower satisfaction scores ($p < 0.05$). Preoperative counseling and relaxation interventions mitigated pain perception and improved early recovery.

Complications: No major surgical complications were observed. Minor swelling and transient discomfort were reported in 15% of patients.

Discussion

The study confirms that psychological factors, particularly anxiety and stress, have a measurable impact on postoperative pain and recovery in dental implant patients. Patients with elevated preoperative anxiety experienced higher pain levels and slower functional recovery, consistent with findings from Kaptein et al. and Corah et al. Stress-induced physiological changes, including increased HR, BP, and cortisol levels, likely contribute to heightened pain perception and delayed tissue healing.

Preoperative interventions, such as counseling, relaxation techniques, and structured communication, significantly reduced perceived pain and improved patient satisfaction, highlighting the importance of integrating psychophysiological management into standard implant protocols. These results support a biopsychosocial approach, where both physical and emotional patient needs are addressed to optimize outcomes.

Limitations include a relatively small sample size and short follow-up duration. Future research should evaluate long-term effects of psychological interventions on implant success rates and explore additional biomarkers of stress response.

Conclusion

Psychological factors, especially preoperative anxiety and stress, significantly influence postoperative pain, recovery, and patient satisfaction in dental implant patients. Early psychological assessment and interventions, such as counseling and relaxation techniques, improve recovery outcomes and enhance the overall treatment experience. Integrating psychophysiological evaluation into routine preoperative protocols is recommended to optimize implant success and patient well-being.

References

1. Kaptein, M., de Vries, H., van der Bijl, J. (2007). The influence of preoperative anxiety on postoperative recovery in oral surgery. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod*, 103(1), 85–90.
2. Corah, N. L. (1969). Development of a dental anxiety scale. *J Dent Res*, 48(4), 596.
3. Spielberger, C. D. (1983). *Manual for the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI)*. Palo Alto, CA: Consulting Psychologists Press.
4. Locker, D., Liddell, A., Shapiro, D. (1999). Incidence and risk factors for dental anxiety. *Community Dent Health*, 16(1), 18–23.
5. Wideman, T. H., et al. (2014). Psychological predictors of acute pain after oral surgery. *Pain*, 155(9), 1725–1733.
6. Corah, N. L., Gale, E. N., & Illig, S. J. (1978). Assessment of a dental anxiety scale. *J Am Dent Assoc*, 97(4), 816–819.
7. Choy, E. H., et al. (2008). Stress and pain: Mechanisms and clinical implications. *Curr Rheumatol Rep*, 10(6), 465–471.
8. McNeill, C., et al. (2013). Patient-centered approaches in implant dentistry: Psychological considerations. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants*, 28(2), 321–327.